Steven L. Beshear Governor

Leonard K. Peters Secretary Energy and Environment Cabinet



Commonwealth of Kentucky **Public Service Commission** 211 Sower Blvd. P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615 Telephone: (502) 564-3940 Fax: (502) 564-3460 psc.ky.gov

May 8, 2014

Mr. David R. Graham P.O. Box 553 Campton, Kentucky 41301

RE: Case No. 2014-00108 New Cingular Wireless PCS

Dear Mr. Graham:

This is in response to your letters received on May 6 and May 7, 2014 requesting information regarding the application for approval to construct a wireless tower facility. The letter has been placed in the official case file of this proceeding and the Commission will carefully analyze this application before rendering its final decision. By this letter, the attached request for information has been forwarded to the Applicant for a response. Commission Staff requests the Applicant to submit a written response to the request for information, with a copy to the Commission, within 15 days of the date of this letter.

If a person wishes to become a party in this matter he should submit to the Commission a request for intervention, if intervention is desired. If no request for intervention is received within 30 days of the date of this letter, the Commission Staff will presume that the Applicant's reply has satisfied the concerns raised in the attached request for information.

It may be helpful for you to know the state authority, specifically that of the Public Service Commission, in this matter has been limited by federal law. For example, Section 704 of the federal Telecommunications Act of 1996 prohibits this Commission from regulating the placement of wireless facilities on the basis of environmental effects of the radio frequency emissions to the extent that facilities comply with Federal Communications Commission regulations. Section 704 also prohibits a state or local government from prohibiting telecommunications facilities construction if such denial will have the effect of prohibiting service. In addition, this Commission is required by statute

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com



David L. Armstrong Chairman

> James Gardner Vice-Chalrman

Linda Breathitt Commissioner

An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

Mr. David R. Graham May 8, 2014 Page 2

to ensure that utility service, including telecommunications service, is adequate and reliable. The Commission does, however, consider appropriate placement of necessary facilities within applicable engineering boundaries. It also pursues a policy of collocation of facilities whenever possible.

You may view Orders and data requests issued by the Commission or other formal case documents on our website <u>http://psc.ky.gov</u>.

Thank you for your letter of interest and concern in this matter.

Sincerely,

& Ollow

Jeff Derouen Executive Director

JD/kle

cc: Parties of Record



An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/D

KentuckyUnbridledSpirit.com

Cipe No ZO14-00108 RECEIVED MAY 7 - 2014 PUBLIC SERVICE 70: . The Wast Letten That I received From the FCC I UNDERSTAND them 七〇 SAY that UNtil the proposed Tower is built there is NO WAY to KNOW how high the RAdio Frequency Emissions Levels Will be. There Are Two Other. Cell Phone Towers Located SAME Area. that Could be Tested during A NORMAL day by OperAtion, DNe is At Approx 800 ft. to the EAST And ONE is Approx 1/2 mile to the West. This should be A Simple Test that Will Show the RADiAtion Levels. This thind Tower will produce QUEN Higher PAdiAtion Levels, JAM CONCERNED About The Health And SAfety of the people Living IN this Community. It is Also possible that the Tomen Could Fall. THANK YOU Graham 7.0. Box 553 CAMPLON, Ky 41301 Phone 10:55 P105-7-YA P.1/3 \$102-70-60 .m.s 05:65:0





Age a

the radiation spewing from Cell Lowers, is a possible cardinogen.

The Bioinitiative 2012 Report willien by a group of leading independent international actentists has but out an unaquivodal health warning against exposure to EMFs. This includes exposures from cell phone towers.

Why are cell phone towers particularly dangerous?

The threat comma from the contribut nature of the solivity of the towers; they emit pulsed radio frequency registion. This rediction has been shown in thousands of shutles to cause biological delivage to the budy and to be a preciment to discuss

Whit are some of the dangets (besides concer), which result from this damage and are associated with EMPs and des pivole antohnos?

- Genetic mutations
- Memory disruptions
- Hindered learning
- ADD
- Inéomhia
- Braht divortient
- Hormonal Imbalances
- + Infectility
- Dementia
- . Heart complications

These dangers clearly make it importative to take action.

Cell towars are here to stay buil their implentation needs effective regulation in terms of location and rediation levels. The 1996 *Telecommunications Act* (TCA), does not qualify the public's right to protect cell towar locations based on health hazards. Cell towars should be located away from residential areas and far away from achools and day care centers.

Sources:

http://www.getinefacts.info/ http://www.jetition.co.ga http://www.geticon.co.ga http://www.geticon.nt.org/ http://cdn.bizcommunity.com http://www.mggdahavas.com

About the authors

Lloyd Burres is the aution of a new abook ontitiad "How To Boot Electrical Sensitivity" which offers a solution to the growing number of people whose health is being compromised by exposure to wireless and similar technologies, see www.electricisenso.com/3-free-chapters html

Since failing provide a violent reaction to his cell phone in 2002 he has epsni the last 10 years researching the effects of electromagnatic fields (EMFs) on health. He now offers a complete solution on how to live a healthy life in our increasingly electromagnatic work).

You can cownload his nee EMF Health Report and subscribe to his newsistier by visions his website http://www.electricsense.com/ You can also follow him on Pacebook . Twitter, Youtube and Googlet.

. Stay informedi FREE subscription to the Health Ranger's email newsletter

.

A Get breaking news elerte on GMOs Ruoride, superfinide, restant curve and more



Enter your amail address hars...

is over fear willow stands by readers. Estad privacy 190% protested. Unsubsected at any lime.



See why Clean Chlorella is the cleanest source on the planet!





GET SHOW DETAILS + a FREE GIFT

WYESLASendinietSligit.Detalent



Oxy-Rich Fedini 8 O3 Essentiala Jo Oxy-Rich Fedial D is cesular nouriet for your skiel Me smooth the finee antiancing (as alsaticity



Frease-Oried 12 Blackbarry Hat Our Insaze-drie blackbarry halve: grown in Darbla . Africt organic stars

Also on NaturalNews

Health Ranger Videos Activiet multic Gouniar Think Garloons Food decumentaries FRUP Reveal Reports Podcasts

 http://www.naturalnews.com/040905_cell_phone_towers_radiation_cancer.html
 3/12/2014

 ביבים
 מפאבאפבטבולם:01
 נוסבים

SUBSCRIDE

10:22:32 ***** 02-02-2014 2 60000000



12:44:39 p.m. 03-06-2014 1 6006688361 MAY-6-2014 12:42 FROM:WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561 TO:9P15025643460 P.1/13 Pq. 1 DAVID R. GRAHAM Oppose the CONSTRUCTION OF A Communication's Tower At 395 Miller Ridge Rd. Pine Ridge, Wolfe C.D. Ky 41301. For ENVIRONMENTER SAFEty AND HEALTH REASONS Telethe set of the set of RECEIVED DAUID GRAHAM PO BOX 55 MAY 6 -2014 PUBLIC SERVICE MPTON, Ky. 41301 COMMISSION udy Graham Box 553 CAMPEON, Ky 41301 1/D.Sal 1366

MAY-6-2014 12:42 FROM:WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561	12:44:59 p.m. 05-06-20	14	2	6066680561			
	MAY-6-2014	12	:42	FROM: WOLFE	PUBLIC LIBR	ARY	6066686561

P9.2 DAUID R. GRAHAM Bill Rudd PO BOX 857 Campton. Ry. 41301 <u>.</u> Willy Dollan miller Ridge Ri. ne Ridge ile Pennington 19e, KY41360 Lonny PALC Pennington 15N ne Kidge, KY 41360 Remight

12:44:59 p.m. 03-06-20	14 3	6066680361]				•	
MAY-6-2014	12:42 F	ROM: WOLFE PU	BLIC LIBRAR	Y 6066686	561	TO:9P1	5025643460	P.3-13
	:					AHAM	P . 3	
	<u> </u>	DALLAS	2JAtk	763				
		DAllAS 574 Boo	re Cre	ek k	2.			
	, 	Sta Ato	1.15	40380	2			
		Sturton Della	Wall	han				
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			*******	
								<u></u>
							,,,,,,,,,,,,	
						•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	,
					<u></u>			
	<u>}</u>							
					.			······
			······					
•					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		,	
						¥• 49		
	ļ							
			1999 - 1980 - The Balance &					
				• • •				
							······································	<u>,</u>
		<u>+</u> ·						

PUBLIC NOTICE

American Towers LLC is proposing to construct a 265-foot overall height self-support telecommunications tower at 395 Miller Ridge Rd., Pine Aidge, Wolle County, KY, Tax Parcel ID 012-00-00-023.00. The new tower structure will be lit with dual red/white medium intensity flashing strobe with steady burning red side markers lighting and the tower facility will include a 70' by 42' lease area and associated easements, along with a 30-ft. buffer surrounding the lease area. American Towers LLC seeks comments from all interested persons or any potential significant impact the proposed action could have on the guality of the human environment pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Section 1.1307, including potential impacts to historic or cultural resources that are listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Interested persons may review the project application pending with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) at www.icc.gov/asr/applications by entering Form 654 File No. A0875960, Interested persons may comment or raise environmental impact concerns about the proposed action by filling a Request for Environmental Review with the FCC. The FCC strongly encourages all interested parties to make such filings online, following the instructions found at www.fcc.gov/asr/environmentalrequest. Paper filings can be sent to: FCC Requests for Environmental Review, Attn: Ramon Williams, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. The Request must be sent to American Towers LLC, by e-mailing a copy to enviro.services@americantower.com or mailing a copy to: American Tower, 10 Presidential , Way, Wolurn, MA 01801 ATTN: Environmental Compliance.

Requests or comments should be limited to environmental and historic/cultural resource impact concerns, and must be received on or before 3/9/14. This invitation to comment is separate from any planning/zoning process that may apply to this project. 12:44:59 p.m. 05-06-2014 5 6066666561

MAY-6-2014 12:43 FROM: WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561

Cell Tower Health Risks

Page 1 of 4



12:44:59 p.m. D5-06-2014 6 6066666561

MAY-6-2014 12:43 FROM: WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561

Cell Tower Health Risks

1

TO:9P15025643460

Page 2 of 4

yield. Relocating the cattle restored the milk yield. Moving them back to the original pasture recreated the problem. Dairy Cow Study

A human study (Kempten West) in 2007 measured blood levels of seratonin and melatonin (important hormones involved in brain messaging, mood, sleep regulation and immune system function) both before, and five months after, the activation of a new cell site.

Twenty-five participants lived within 300 metres of the site. Substantial unfavourable changes occurred with respect to both hormones, in aimost all participants. <u>Kemptem West</u> <u>Study</u>

Over 100 scientists and physicians at Boston and Harvard Universities Schools of Public Health have called cell phone towars a radiation hazard.

Cell phone towers cancer risk

A study performed by doctors from the German city of Nalla monitored 1000 residents who had lived in an area around two cell phone towers for 10 years. During the last 5 years of the study they found that those living within 400 meters of either tower had a newly-diagnosed cancer rate three times higher than those who lived further away. Breast cancer topped the list, but cancers of the prostate, pancreas, bowel, skin melanoma, lung and blood cancer were all increased. <u>Naila Study</u>

Another study by researchers at Tel Aviv university compared 622 residents who lived within 350 meters of a cell phone tower with 1222 control patients who lived further away. They found 8 cancer cases in the group affected by the cell tower, compared with only 2 cases amongst the controls. <u>Further info</u>

Very few studies have specifically concentrated on cancer risk from cell phone towers. This lack of studies is in itself a cause for concern, especially since anecdotal evidence is pientifui.

For example, in a case known as "Towers of Doom", two cell masts were installed (in 1994) on a five story apartment building in London. Residents complained of many health problems in the following years. Seven of them were diagnosed with cancer. The cancer rate of the top floor residents (closest to the tower) was 10 times the national average. <u>Further info</u>.

We agree that more research is needed, but it may be slow in coming. Those who might fund major studies are the very same organisations who would be disadvantaged if a definite link between cell towers and cancer were established.

In the meantime, it is reasonable to apply the precautionary principle.

If ceil towers are causing cancer, we would expect that several years of exposure (with only minor effects on people's health) might be required, followed by an unexpectedly high occurrence of the disease amongst the exposed population.

The damage from radiation exposure accumulates over many years, but the breakdown in health happens only _____

Support the cause on Facebooki





.

6066686561 12:44:59 p.m. 05-06-2014 7

MAY-6-2014 12:44 FROM: WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561

Cell Tower Health Risks

.

Page 3 of 4

after all body defences and repair mechanisms have been exhausted.

Cell phone tower radiation limits

The current US standard for cell site radiation in the US is 580-1000 microwatts per square centimetre.

Many other countries have set levels hundreds of times lower.

The reason for the disparity is that no one really knows what level of cell tower radiation is safe.

Current limits have been influenced more by economic and political imperatives than by research into health and safety.

More important than the intensity of electromagnetic radiation emitted at the tower is the strength of the resulting EMF wherever people live and work. This depends on the intensity at the source - and one's distance from it.

Cell towers safe distance

Different cell sites emit different amounts of radiation.

Radiation levels from a single cell site vary, depending on usage. Even maintenance issues can affect how much radiation a cell site is currently producing.

Radiation around a single cell tower may not be uniform there can be hot and cold spots,

Measurement with a suitable meter is the only way to know how much radiation you are receiving at a particular spot.

1300 Fe. But it seems that 400 metres is a safe distance for most people, and smaller distances may also be safe in some cases.

Cell tower health effects

Individuals differ in their response to similar levels of EMF radiation.

For some people, short term effects from cell tower radiation exposure may include headaches, sleep disorders, poor memory, mental excitation, confusion, anxiety, depression, appetite disturbance and listiesmess.

This list is not intended as a diagnostic aid, as each symptom here can have many causes.

But if you and your family do not experience any of these symptoms you are probably not being overwhelmed by cell tower radiation.

Cell tower safety - personal action plan

If you are still concerned, try to obtain the use of an RF (radio frequency) gauss meter designed for measuring electromagnetic radiation in the cell phone frequency (microwave) range.

Switch off all wireless devices including computer networks,

12:44:59 p.m. 05-06-2014 8 6066686561

MAY-6-2014 12:44 FROM: WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561

TO:9P15025643460

Cell Tower Health Risks

Page 4 of 4

modems and mobile phones before measuring RF radiation. What remains will probably be mainly cell tower radiation, although TV and radio station signals may also contribute.

How much cell tower radiation is too much for your long-term health? No one is exactly sure. But if you detect more than 100 mv/m (millivoits per metre) in places where you spend several hours a day, you might consider moving.

If you cannot obtain a meter, you must rely on estimating the distance to the nearest cell site. If that distance exceeds 400 metres you are probably not being harmed - although high risk groups may need to be more cautious. See our page <u>Who</u> is at Risk?

Also bear in mind that it is getting harder and harder to be sure where the nearest cell site is situated, especially in builtup areas.

Coll sites are often disguised. And many units are much smaller than the old familiar towers (though probably not less potent), and installed in unexpected locations.

When you next change your job or your house, find out how far away you are going to be from the nearest cell site, and let that influence your decision. Do the same when you decide where to send your child to school.

If you have reason to be concerned about your exposure to cell tower radiation - but there is nothing you can do about it yet - then concentrate on reducing EMFs from other sources. For suggestions see our page <u>EMF Protection</u>.

In the long term, we need to find ways of providing cell phone convenience without exposing people to the existing dangers of cell tower radiation.

View PDF	1) Citis the developed builts 2) This self loss yes to use only note 2) Developed the FREE product =Californ(DCCp)/DF	Download 🦊	

Top

EMP Poliution EMP Explanation Health Effects Who is at risk? EMP Protection EMP Survey EMP Meters

Smart Maters Coll phone EME Coll Tower EME Computer EME Microways Ovens Power Lines EME Table

Disciosure: The website owner may derive financial benefit from product links and advertisements on this website.

Copyright 2008-2012 @ EMwatch.com Last

Last updated: July-2012

Coll Tower Health Risks



Canada Anna 25 but by Gali Propa Man 24 bas



Our property

20

PAge 1

IN GENERAL, I do NOT Oppose Cell Phone Towers Cell Phones Are AN INPORTANT tool to help us communicate AND IN OUR Modern World of Today We CAN Stay better INFORMEd And Up to date About CUMPENT EVENTS I Know the use of Cell Phones SAVES Lives Every day And they Sometimes Phevent disasters I ONEY OPPOSE Cell Phone Towers When they Are Placed IN Residental Areas Near peoples Homes After Reading About the dangers of Living Near A Cell Phone Tower All of the Studies Show that the SAYE Distance From the tower is About 1300 ft. The phoposed tower side At 395 Miller Ridge Rd. Wolfe Co. Ky. Puts MANY of the Residence TN danger, Because off Mobile Homes And Houses Are Much Closer

12:44:59 p.m. 03-00-2014 12:4	5 FROM:WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561 TO:9P15025643460 P.12/1
į	PAGE 2
	There Are NO ZONing LAMS TN
	Wolfe Co. And to my Knowledge
	NO LOCAL AGENCY to full on the
	HOCALION OF A Cell Phone Tomen
	On I's OpenAtion. Thave Spoken
	to Wolfe Co Judge - Exec DENNIS BLOOKS
	At And he Shakes
	the Same Health And Safety Concerns
	AS I do About the people Living
	IN that Community. A Cell Phone
	Tomen Could be Placed At A
	different Location If one is
	Needed without Gambling with the
	HEALTH And Sayety of the people.
	The millen Ridge Road is A dead
	ENd Road So All of the Residence
	And the school Bus must Thavel
	this Road
	I Amethein IN My Deccased
	Mothers property which Joins the
	property of the proposed tower site
	The MAP that American Towers
	Sent me Shows the House At
	Apphox. 450 ft. From the tower Site

12:44:59 p.m. 05	-06-2014 13	6066680361	
MAY-6-20)14 12:46	FROM:WOLFE PUBLIC LIBRARY 6066686561	T0:9P15025643460 P.13/13
	1		PAGE 3
		Also Two mobile Hon	nes one at Applicx,
		75 ft. And ONE At App	
		If the tomen is	
		LOCAtion there is	
		That for Health And	
		we will have to Ah	
		property. I Unge th	•
		Commission to LOOK	-
		proposed Tower Site	
		Before CONStruction	
		1	R YOU.
		David	R. Graham
		P. 0, Box	
			N. K.M. 41301
		Phone	
		Cell #	
ŀ			an an the characteristic sector in the back and a state of the
ļ			